Interagency Agreements, DSMOAs, ATSDR, and Cooperative Agreements

This appendix fulfills specific statutory reporting requirements and provides information on key Department of Defense (DoD) partnership programs with federal and state regulatory agencies that further the goals of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program.

Interagency Agreements

Federal facilities are required to enter into an interagency agreement (IAG) or a federal facility agreement (FFA) within 180 days of completion of the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) at a National Priorities List (NPL) installation, per the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act provisions codified as Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) §120(e)(2). The IAG outlines the responsibilities of the Military Component(s), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and frequently, the state in the cleanup process. The IAG allows EPA and states to assume regulatory oversight over environmental restoration activities at DoD installations. CERCLA §120(e)(5) requires that (1) the number of IAGs and FFAs signed and in negotiation; (2) the costs associated with IAGs; (3) public comments; and (4) IAG and FFA developments within the previous fiscal year be reported in the Annual Report to Congress. The information provided in this appendix is for Fiscal Year 2000 (FY00).

The three IAGs signed in FY00 (Table C-1) bring the total number of DoD IAGs to 114. Table C-2 lists all installations that conducted negotiations or signed IAGs or FFAs in FY00.

Other information required to be reported by CERCLA §120(e)(5) is provided as follows:

Cost estimates and budgetary proposals for IAGs: Table B-1 provides (1) information on the environmental restoration costs that each DoD installation has incurred through FY00 and (2) estimates of each installation's costs from FY01 through site closeout.

Public comments regarding proposed IAGs: The public did not submit comments on IAGs to DoD during FY00.

Table C-1 IAG/FFA Status Summary

Component	IAGs/FFAs Signed in FY00	IAGs/FFAs in Negotiation in FY00
Army	0	3
Navy	1	7
Air Force	1	3
DLA	0	0
FUDS	1	0
Total	3	13

Table C-2
Installations That Conducted Negotiations or Signed IAGs or FFAs in FY00

Installation Name	IAG/FFA Status (signed or negotiated)	Description of cases in which negotiations are under way or no agreement was reached within 180 days of completion of the RI/FS
ARMY		
Fort Eustis	Negotiations ongoing	The RI/FS is not complete, and a Record of Decision (ROD) has not been prepared. FFA negotiations between Army and EPA are considered ongoing until the FS is complete.
Fort Meade	Negotiations ongoing	A portion of Fort Meade (Tipton Army Airfield) was deleted from the NPL. The Fort Meade partnering team is working on a site management plan as well as a draft FFA.
Redstone Arsenal	Negotiations ongoing	The state-stipulated penalties issue needs to be resolved.
NAVY		
Alameda Naval Air Station	Negotiations ongoing	Negotiations with EPA and the State of California on the FFA neared completion.
Cherry Point Marine Corps Base	Negotiations ongoing	FFA signature did not occur due to lengthy legal reviews and site management plan revisions.
Concord Naval Weapons Station	Negotiations ongoing	FFA negotiations began with EPA. Work began on the site management plan, a primary document to be appended to the FFA. The FFA is under negotiation in concert with the Alameda Naval Air Station FFA.
Jackson Park Housing Complex (part of Puget Sound Naval Shipyard)	Negotiations ongoing	Negotiations are under way. The ROD was signed in August 2000.
Mechanicsburg Naval Inventory Control Point	Negotiations ongoing	Not signed. Protracted negotiations with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) have resulted in a consensus on disputed language in the agreement. Legal staff from Navy, PADEP, and EPA will finalize the FFA in FY01.

Table C-2
Installations That Conducted Negotiations or Signed IAGs or FFAs in FY00

Installation Name	IAG/FFA Status (signed or negotiated)	Description of cases in which negotiations are under way or no agreement was reached within 180 days of completion of the RI/FS
NAVY		
Parris Island Marine Corps Recruit Depot	Negotiations ongoing	Negotiations on the FFA were initiated after Navy drafted a proposed FFA.
South Weymouth Naval Air Station	Signed	Signed November 29, 1999.
Whiting Field Naval Air Station	Negotiations ongoing	All parties have reviewed and commented on the draft FFA; negotiations are near completion.
AIR FORCE		
Langley Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	Air Force completed the RI/FS in September 1999. There is a pending legal agreement between EPA and Air Force concerning stipulated penalties in the Remedial Action Completion Report. Air Force estimates the IAG will be completed in September 2001.
MacDill Air Force Base	Signed	Signed December 23, 1999.
McGuire Air Force Base	Preliminary negotiations ongoing	The RI/FS is still in progress.
Tyndall Air Force Base	Negotiations ongoing	The RI is still in progress. The timelines and appendices for the FFA are under discussion with the regulators.
FUDS		
Nansemond Ordnance Depot	Signed	The Technical Memorandum was signed May 1999, and the IAG was executed in January 2000.

DSMOAs and Cooperative Agreements

As described on page 11 of this report, the Defense and State Memorandum of Agreement (DSMOA) program reimburses states for reviewing investigation and cleanup efforts at DoD active or closing installations and formerly used defense sites. In order for a state or territory to receive DSMOA funding, it must first secure approval of a Cooperative Agreement (CA) detailing a 2-year work plan and planned projects for the following 4 years, including cost estimates.

As of September 30, 2000, DoD had signed 51 DSMOAs with 46 states, 4 territories, and the District of Columbia. No new agreements were signed during FY00. Of the states and territories eligible for DSMOA funding, 44 states, the District of Columbia, and 2 territories have submitted applications for CAs to receive the funding. Georgia, America Samoa, and Puerto Rico did not file CAs. Georgia and Oklahoma are preparing CAs and will likely submit them during FY01.

A list of the states and territories eligible to participate in the DSMOA program, and their status as of September 30, 2000, is presented in Table C-3 of this appendix.

During FY00, the Military Components provided almost \$26.8 million in DSMOA reimbursements to states. The distribution of DSMOA funding by state for FY00 is provided in the section of this report entitled Mapping the Future of Environmental Restoration (page 11).

Technology Cooperative Agreements

As required by the FY98 National Defense Authorization Act, DoD must report on the following information:

- * Number of Technology CAs
- Partners in each such agreement
- Description of the nature of the technology involved in the agreement

DoD did not participate in any Technology CAs in FY00.

Table C-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2000

Agreement otatus as of deptember 30, 2000								
State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number			
Alabama	05/29/90	01/27/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jim Grassiano	(334) 271-7738			
Alaska	06/04/90	04/26/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Jennifer Roberts	(907) 269-7553			
American Samoa	07/10/91	NA	NA	Sheila Wiegman	(684) 633-2304			
Arizona	03/13/91	01/20/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Moses Olade	(602) 207-4245			
Arkansas	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
California	05/31/90	06/09/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Curvin Lee	(916) 323-3506			
Colorado	10/18/93	02/08/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jeff Edson	(303) 692-3388			
Connecticut	04/23/98	02/06/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Mark Lewis	(860) 424-3768			
Delaware	02/26/90	06/13/00	06/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jim Poling	(302) 395-2600			
District of Columbia	05/09/94	06/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Jim Sweeney	(202) 535-2270			
Florida	06/14/90	04/17/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Eric Nuzie	(850) 921-9999			
Georgia	05/08/90	NA	NA	Jim Ussery	(404) 656-2833			
Guam	11/27/91	03/10/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Lance Richman	(671) 477-2797			
Hawaii	09/10/91	02/07/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Michael Miyasaka	(808) 586-4653			
Idaho	02/06/91	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Dean Nygard	(208) 373-0285			
Illinois	12/17/92	03/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Stephen Nussbaur	m (217)782-9803			

Table C-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2000

Agreement Status as of September 30, 2000							
State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number		
Indiana	04/17/91	06/02/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Rex Osborn	(317) 234-0351		
lowa	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Kansas	08/06/92	01/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Randy Carlson	(785) 296-1682		
Kentucky	06/06/91	01/28/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Nini Hughes	(502) 564-2225		
Louisiana	11/13/91	06/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Sandra Greenwich	(225) 765-0437		
Maine	06/24/91	01/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Denise Messier	(207) 287-4851		
Mariana Islands	10/18/91	06/30/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Tony Guerrero	(670) 664-8500		
Maryland	11/26/90	02/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	John Fairbank	(410) 631-3475		
Massachusetts	10/18/91	03/13/00	05/01/00-06/30/02	Anne Malewicz	(617) 292-5659		
Michigan	08/27/92	02/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	George Jackson	(517) 335-0223		
Minnesota	06/28/91	04/06/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Gary Krueger	(651) 296-6139		
Mississippi	10/13/89	02/14/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Philip Weathersby	(601) 961-5302		
Missouri	05/22/91	02/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Bob Geller	(573) 751-3907		
Montana	04/17/98	04/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Mandy Cunningha	m (406)444-3132		
Nebraska	09/29/92	03/10/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Wade Gregson	(402) 471-3388		
Nevada	09/12/90	01/24/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Paul Liebendorfer	(775) 687-4670		

Table C-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2000

	Agreement otatus as of deptember 30, 2000								
State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number				
NewHampshire	01/22/93	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Richard Pease	(603) 271-3649				
New Jersey	04/03/92	03/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Dhruva Kanjarpane	(609) 633-1455				
New Mexico	06/12/90	02/03/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Julie Jacobs	(505) 827-2776				
New York	06/06/91	01/03/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Marsden Chen	(518) 457-3976				
North Carolina	06/06/91	02/08/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Grover Nicholson	(919) 733-2801				
North Dakota	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Ohio	10/06/92	02/27/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Bonnie Buthker	(937) 285-6469				
Oklahoma	12/28/92	03/13/01	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Hal Cantwell	(405) 702-5139				
Oregon	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Pennsylvania	04/14/94	05/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Gary Moulder	(717) 787-7566				
Puerto Rico	02/04/91	NA	NA	Miguel Maldonado	(787) 766-0368				
RhodeIsland	09/26/91	06/05/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Richard Gottlieb	(401) 222-2797				
South Carolina	05/08/91	02/18/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Kathy Williams	(803) 896-4140				
South Dakota	10/25/91	01/19/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Mark Lawrensen	(605) 773-5868				
Tennessee	06/02/92	02/11/00	07/01/00-06/30/02	Suzanne Wilkes	(615) 532-0925				
Texas	04/08/91	06/01/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Allan Posnick	(512) 239-2332				

Table C-3
States and Territories Eligible for the DSMOA and Cooperative Agreement Program:
Agreement Status as of September 30, 2000

		9		,	
State or Territory	DSMOA Signed	CA Application Signed	CA Period	Point of Contact	Point of Contact Phone Number
Utah	11/11/98	05/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Martin Gray	(801) 538-6819
Vermont	06/22/90	04/25/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Chuck Schwer	(802) 241-3876
Virgin Islands	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	08/31/90	04/07/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Erica Dameron	(804) 698-4201
Washington	02/03/94	01/21/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Craig Thompson	(360) 407-7234
West Virginia	05/24/90	01/31/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Tom Bass	(304) 558-5033
Wisconsin	07/22/92	09/15/00	07/01/00 - 03/31/02	Mark Gordon	(608) 266-7278
Wyoming	06/27/90	02/23/00	07/01/00 - 06/30/02	Kevin Frederick	(307) 777-5985
Total Possible DSM	10As = 56 (50	states; 5 territories;	1 district)		
	`	states; 4 territories;	,		
		etates: 2 territories:	,		

Total Signed CAs = 48 (45 states; 2 territories; 1 district)

NA means not applicable, or states or territories without a DSMOA or a CA program as of September 30, 2000.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), an agency of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, conducts public health assessments (PHAs) at DoD and non-DoD sites that are on the NPL or are the subject of citizen petition. The PHA evaluates hazardous substance releases, community health concerns, and local health outcome data. The assessment has three parts: an assessment of past, present, and future impacts on public health; the development of health advisories and recommendations; and the identification of studies or actions needed to evaluate, mitigate, or prevent adverse human health effects, such as disease registries, epidemiological studies, health surveillance programs, or health education programs.

Through the end of FY00, ATSDR has completed 114 PHAs for DoD installations and properties. There are 9 PHAs currently undergoing public comment and 11 undergoing initial review. ATSDR published the following PHAs in FY00:

- + 15 initial release documents
- * 14 public comment releases
- + 18 final release documents.

ATSDR also updated one Navy public health assessment.

The initial release document provides DoD, state, and local health departments and federal regulatory agencies with an opportunity to guarantee that the most accurate information about a site is available to ATSDR.

The public comment release provides a formal means for the community to make additional comments and raise its concerns, thus increasing stakeholder involvement.

The final release document responds to comments by DoD, the regulatory agencies, public health departments, and the community. Final release public health assessments are updated as additional environmental information becomes available through health consultations, site reviews and updates, or updated public health assessments, depending on the amount of new environmental information available.

Table C-4 summarizes the PHAs completed at DoD installations and properties in FY00.

Table C-4
Summary of the Public Health Assessments Completed at
DoD Installations in FY00

			Air			
Stage of Assessment	Army	Navy	Force	DLA	FUDS	Total
Initial Release Document	3	7	4	0	1	15
Public Comment Release	3	4	6	0	1	14
Final Release Document	7	3	7	0	1	18
Update Releases	0	1	0	0	0	1
All Stages, Total Number	13	15	17	0	3	48